DID THEY ALL LIE

Mrs. Haines Flatly Contradicts the Contestant's Witnesses.

HER MEMORY IS TREACHEROUS

subjected to a Searching Cross-Examina--The Lock on the Old Parior Door Is Viewed by the Jury.

As usual the circuit court room was crowded with speciators yesterday, morning, interest in the Brown will case seeming to increase in a geometri-

Haines, the proponent, was continued by Mr. Smiley. She did not know the stant date of her birth, the record in the family Bible having been crased or torn out. Extracts were read from the testimony of Mrs. Hames in the probate fourt relating to the interest. testimony of Mrs. Hames in the probate pourt relating to the intimacy of her mother and Dr. Hoyt of Adrian, and witness acknowledged giving such testimony. She never told her father of the episode, but her father had doubte of the legitimacy of Alice and the younger children. Witness said her father visited her often at her home, especially since 1820, her husband having died in that year, on which occasion her father assumed the control of her besimes affairs. They seldom talked about Alice and her mether in the sevisits, but talked upon general subjects. Witness never saw the sworn statement alleged to have been made by her mother as to her relations with Dr. Seeley. Except at the time she went off with Seeley her parents always lived together. She said she did not know whether Asice lived at home off with seeley her parents always lived together. She said she did not knew whether Aice lived at home after marriage or not, as she was not on speaking terms with Alice at the time. The old man's account book was produced and opened up at the page where the charges were entered against Mrs. Hayden. These items sers posted by Will Brown in 1889 and sovered a period of twenty years and nicluded his wife's wardrobe which was ven to Alice upon the death of Mrs. Brewn. It was entered in the account that these items were to come out of Alice's share of the estate. The total amount was \$3,971. Margaret could not explain any of these entries nor did she know why the account was not poeted long ago. She had nothing whatever to do with the account.

Her father urged her to come and live with him after his wife died, deplaying that Alice predected him.

live with him after his wife died, de-claring that Alice neglected him. Witness never said anything against her mother to anyone. She remem-bered that Alice's trunk was searched before Alice left home, but did not re-member to have advised such a pro-reed sillow. member to have advised such a proceeding. Sine knew that some shrets and pillow cases were missing at that time. Witness denied positively that she ever wrote a note to her father concerning a mik dress that he purchased and gave to Alice, but when Mr. Similey produced the note, in which she wrote that she understood who was at the bottom of the transaction, Mrs. Haines suddenly experienced a return of n.e. wory and acknowledged the note. In fact, her memory seems to be playing tricks with her constantly, some important matters having enme important matters having en-ely escaped her, while more unim-rtant details are as vivid to her mind as if they but just occurred.

Afternoon Session

Mrs. Haines resumed the stand at the afterneon session and Mr. Smiley continued his cross-examination.

Witness brought in a list of the proporty she had in 1880 and the family record. She said the record was on one of the fly leaves of a book Mr. Smiley held, but she didn't know just where, for she had not seen it since she was a thild. She did not get any property from her first husband except his life insurance. He had left some debts, but the had never been invited to pay them and hadn't paid them. She got the property she had in 1880 by saving from the money her husband gave her and then allowing her father to invest it for her. She also draw \$2,000 on a lottery licket. She hadn't any idea whatever icket. She hadn't any idea whatever pf the value of her Grandville home, and didn't know whether she would accept an offer of \$2,000 for it or not. sceept an offer of \$2,000 for it or not. Witness had heard her father's will read and in that way knew how much money he had left her mother. Witness didn't know why he left the bother with so little, but had heard her father say he didn't want Alice to get it, for she would squander it. Witness did not know the contents of the will until her father died, and never sold anyone that she knew what was in the will. Witness knew her father had made a will by the agreement Mr. Brown brought her, making herself and Mr. Ransom co-executors, but she never asked her father snything about how he had disposed of his property.

Ar. Earsom co-executors, but she never asked her father anything about how he had disposed of his property.

Witness first said she had never denired Mr. Ransom to take charge of the father's easted and relieve her of the responsibility, as stated in the agreement, (which Mr. Smiley read, but finally concluded that she had aligned it without fully understanding it. After some urgent questions from the sourt that she could answer the question by yes or ne. Mrs. Haines apost getting money from a lottery, and couldn't remember what hat she had desired and understood the contents of the agreement she ingred. In spite of all that, she did not seeklize that she was the chief one injected in the will. (The agreement she ingred. In spite of all that, she did not seeklize that she was the chief one injected in the will. (The agreement in lar possession, but never had read it ways had a copy of the agreement in lar possession, but never had read it was none during all these years. (At his point Mrs. Haines gave the original greement to Mr. Smiley.

Mrs. Ransom about the syreement in lar possession, but never had read it was not book at it or show it to any one until siler her father for the father and Mr. Ransom about the syreement to Mr. Smiley.

Mrs. Ransem was made. Her father brong hit that the father and Mr. Ransom and had come to see her once after the greement was made. Her father brong out so much, and said she was 't had come to see her once after the greement was made. Her father brong out so much, and said she wasn't have consulted her about being his experition. In the 76's she heard her weeks. Alice Hayden was a member of the father and Mr. Ransom found in the habit of going out of doors almost ever year consulted her about being his experition. In the 76's she heard her habit of going out of doors almost ever at the consulted her about being his experition. In the 76's she heard her habit of going out of doors almost ever at the father and Mr. Ransom and had come to see her once after the greenest was made.

Mrs. Manner' Base Hemory.

Witness never took the paper out to sok at it or show it to any one until after her father died. She had never alked with Mr. Ransom about the agreement; couldn't remember whether he ever was in his office or not, but did semember that her father and Mr. Ransom had come to see her once after the igreement was made. Her father sever consulted her about being his expentive. In the 70's she heard her nother my that Henry Brown expected to be executor, but she never heard any one talk about making Ransem and liark executors. When her father trought the agreement to her to sign, the told him she wanted to read it. He hen drove off, and she couldn't repember how long it was before her father came and got the paper. Withsee supposed the agreement was eigned so the same day, February 10, that the pail was made. Withese put the paper is a little trunk, and her father told her just once to take care of it and keep it. It was in 1806 that her father mid the will couldn't be broken. He said william Brown said the will could be broken and would to broken. He said william Brown said the vill could be broken and would to broken. Witness couldn't tell why her father mement bitter against Alice, but thought it was

because Alice had squandered so much money for him—that \$10,000 wouldn't cover the sum. He mentioned repeatedly that too Haydens had squandered enough to make a poor man rich. Wikness said she didn't know what her father claimed the Haydens spent their money on. Her father gave the Haydens \$800 at one time, and at another time he sent them two bilitard tables at \$500 exch. She didn't know whether the Haydens ever paid this money back or not. Witness never said to the probate court that the estate was worth \$200,000. Witness couldn't remember whether she signed an affidavit for a continuance of the suit.

At this point the spectators burst into

At this point the spectators burst into loud language, and Mr. Russell begged the court to exercise some authority to prohibit such demonstrations. His honor ordered the clerk to clear the room of any whom he might see laugh-ing or otherwise disturbing the court. Witness didn't know her father's es-

tate was so valuable until the invoice was made. When asked is she wouldn't have been satisfied with half of it had she known it was so valuable, witness

"didn't know."
Here Mr. Smiley read much of Mrs. Haines' testimony in the probate court concerning the amount of money her father had given the Haydens. She couldn't remember any other sums ex-cept those mentioned that her father gave Alice. When asked if her father wouldn't be apt to tell her if he sent large sums to Alice, witness couldn't

give an opinion. Witness never called Mr. Hayden a a drunkard, and never knew of his drinking except for his asthma. She thought the Haydens lived beyond their means, but her father was a close man. She never neard anything about giving Alice a farm at the time her father gave witness a furm. Her mother didn't sign the deed of her farm, but she didn't ask why, and didn't know whether it was because Ahee didn't get a farm or not. Her mother didn't want Mrs. Skinner to have any of her clothes, because "Electra would take things that didn't be-long to her." Her mother wanted the clothes divided between her two daughters, but Alice took them all. ters, but Alice took them all. Witness declared she was not in the habit of interfering in her father's business, and so did not remonstrate with him when he charged Alice up with the whole amount (\$409) for the clothes, half of which really belonged to her.

Will Brown's testimony in regard to the life insurance was false. Witness got the money to pay that insurance from different sources. Witness had

from different sources. Witness had remarked to her father that Alice lookremarked to her father that Alice looked like the Cross family. She did not remember her father saying anything to Mrs. Bigelow, such as appeared in that lady's testimony. Her first husband had been married before he married her, and had a family, but she couldn't remember how old the children were, neither could she remember when those children were married. Witness was in the habit of visiting her first husband's people, but didn't remember how often. Witness didn't know of anyone asking her father to go up to her mother's sick room, except himself. Witness led him up to the room

Rather Against His Will. Bather Against His Will,
but couldn't tell one thing that they
said to each other, although she stood
in the room all the time. She couldn't
remember whether he ever expressed
a wish to go again, nor could she remember whether Mrs. Lucas ever
called to see her mother or not. Witness did not sit on the steps with her
father a good share of the time her
mother was sick. She did not return
the present of a splasher that Alice
sent her in 1883 to Alice, but gave it to her father to take to her mother, be-cause she herself had no use for it, and the mother had. Witness had seen Mr. Salisbury in Mr. Maher's office and employed him in the case. She fur-nished him some money to go down to New York state, but she didn't know

whom he was to see there.

Mr. Russell objected to the questions, and wanted to know for what purpose certain questions were asked. The objection was overruled, and an

exception taken. Resuming, witness knew that Mr. Salisbury was going to Watertown, but didn't know he intended to see R. R. Brown; didn't know he went there by the name of John Jones; didn't know that Mr. Salisbury represented himself to Mr. R. R. Brown as coming from Mr. Gleason, and didn't know that Mr. Gieason had received a letter from R. R. Brown. Witness sent Mr. Salisbury to Chicago and thought he had seen Dr. Seeley, but said Mr. Salisbury went by his own name, and didn't know about his going by the name of King. Couldn't remember sending him to Paris, and didn't know anything about his going by any alias there. Witness identified her mother's

bible; said it was in her father's house when she went to the house. The family records were on one of the fly leaves when witness was a child. Mr. Smiley called the attention of the wit-pess to an erasure on the back leaf of the pible. Witness had some of the mortgages of a farm belonging to Wm.

being out so much, and said she want't any help to him. Soon after witness went there Alice showed a letter to her father from her himband in which Mr. Hayden wanted her to come home, saying he was lonely. Mr. Brown said, "Why in hell don't you go home then? I don't want you here, you don't do me any good. I know what you are staying here for—you want to get mobe money out of me, and you've already squandered \$10,000," Witness said this was about two weeks before Mrs. Haines moved up from Grandiville. Mr. Brown told witness that Alice had always caused him trouble and always would, and that she was waiting for more motary. When witness easted him if he had made a will, he end "yee, years ago, and I've left it so that

Allee Coo's Squander My Money."
Witness considered Mr. Brown's mind

arfectly clear on the same of the room of ween the sitting room and parlor, in there was no lock on the door, here are nive doors leading out of the mily sitting room, and she did not sink there were locks on any of them.

Mr. Unit began the cross-examination by asking if it was not true that she lived with the family from the 8th to the 12th of October, instead of four weeks. Witness couldn't remember exactly. Yes, she would limit Mr. Brown's soundness of mind to he Brown's soundness of milid to his treatment of business matters. Witness reiterated the statement she made in the direct examination concerning the letter Alice received from her husband. Witness said Mr. Brown spake at the time of the letter about a dressmaker's bill of \$50 that he supposed he would nave to pay. Mr. Brown told witness when talking with her that Alice had already had \$10,000, but did not say anything about charging it to Alice or anything about charging it to Alice or pulting down every cent on his book against her that he had ever let her nave-never said anything about his witness was employed there. Mr. Brown engaged her himself at \$2.50 per week and she did the housework. The reason she left was because Mr. Brown said a woman with a child would come for \$1 a week.

It took several repetitions of the question to make Mrs. Candes remember that a charge had been made that she had taken things out of the Brown house. Witness taiked with Mrs. Skinner about things being missed, and told Mrs. Skinner that there wasn't snything worth taking from the house. Witness was not discharged, but simply left because of the other woman coming. Witness resented the accusion made against her. The sheets, pillowcases, and so do were very poor. She cases, and so on were very poor. She had no knowledge whatever that any things were taken out of the house while she was there. Mrs. Candee came to Grand Rapids the 23 of February, and had stopped since she came with Mrs. Ha mes.

The next witness called was Mrs. Mallory. Mr. Uhl objected to the testimony of the witness on the ground that mony of the witness on the ground that she had been present during part of the trial, contrary to the order issued at the beginning that all witnesses should be excluded. The court ruled that the testimony could be taken and Mrs. Mallory stated that she lived on the west side, and had lived in the city about ten years. She had known the family of Jas. H. Brown thirteen or fourteen years, and had been in the habit of visiting the homestead. Witness said there had never been a lock on the door between the eitting room and parior. room and parior.

After Mrs. Howe left Mr. Brown' house, witness talked with Mr. Brown about her. Mr. Brown told her that Mrs. Howe was in the habit of

Coming to His Bedside

in her night clothes, and that she was a d-b-; that her boy made such a racket that he couldn't stand it; and that he believed Mrs. Howe would blackmail him if she could. Mr. Brown talked of his daughters to witners, and said Alice was "more for dress" and was more of a spendthrift than Margaret. He said Margaret would be provided for because she had left her home to care for him, but witness couldn't remember that he ever said anything about making provision for Alice. He never said anything against Alice except that she was not careful of her money.

appeared that witness knew the Browns through her niece who worked there. Witness didn't know where her neice, never talked of his will to witness. She Thomas Haley Seriously Injured in a couldn't remember that he ever talked Row. about his sickness in '86. Mr. Brown tidn't tell witness that Margaret had s didn't tell witness that Margaret had a seal skin worth \$500 and seven dresses at one time. He was in the habit of talking freely about his daughters to the friends that would come in. Mr. Brown was not entirely bind when he died, but knew in some way that Mrs. Howe came to his bed in her night dress, and told him she would rather be an old man's darling than a young man's pet. Witness knew there was no street, as he was comment in the steers. man's pet. Witness knew there was no lock on the door, because she was in lock on the door, because she was in the house a week ago last Sunday. Witness had heard some one on the stand testify that there were locks on the door, and whispered then to a lady that sat next to her. "That's not so, for there isn't a key hele there." She knew there was a lock on the parlor door into the hall, for about three months ago she called on Mrs. Haines on business, and the door had to be unlocked before she could go in. She never told Will Brown that she knew nothing about the case, and suggested nothing about the case, and suggested to Mr. Uhi that if he wanted to know what she said to Will Brown that he could call him up and ask him. [Loud aughter in the court, which was objected to by Mr. Russell.]

Mrs. Pellow was the next witness called. She now lives in the city, but lived in Grandville for nineteen years, and knew Mrs. Haines there. Witness never heard Mrs. Haines mention any differences between herself and her sister, Mrs. Hayden. Mrs. Haines was very domestic, and was a great home-body, minding her own business. Wit-ness could not remember when the two a sters made their last call together on her, but it was when Alice was home on a visit from Denver. Witness knew Mr. Brown, and had heard him say that he had made his will and that all

hell couldn't break it.

Mr. Uhl conducted the cross-examination of the witness. Mr. Brown and witness had talked about the will when they were alone together, but he made no statement as to the provisions of the will. Witness had asked Mrs. Haines her age, and she said she didn't know; and then she asked Mr. Brown, and he said Alice had stolen the family bible with the records, and he didn't know.

said Alice had stelen the family bible with the records, and he didn't know. Just as soon as he mentioned Alice's name he became irritated. Witness knew Mr. Brown very well.

Mrs. Anna Beamer of Traverse City was next called. She lived in the family of Mr. Brown from the first of January, '83, to the last of September of the same year. When she went there Mrs. Florence Howe was there and remained for a few days. Mr. Brown told witness that he was afraid of Mrs. Howe, for she would come into his room clad only in a night dress, and he feit sure she wanted to come some game on him. Mrs. Hayden was in the house during part of the time, appeared to be well, could eat a hearty meal, and was in the habt of going out almost every evening in the siegh with Will Brown. Mr. Brown often spoke to witness about the money he had let Alice have. In conversation with Henry Brown, Henry told his uncle that he didn't blame him for refusing Alice money, for Alice was such a spendthrift.

Mr. Brown told witness that he had made provisions for Alice. Sut had fixed tather as a she couldn't spend it

Mr. Brown told witness that he had made provisions for Alice, but had fixed turings so she couldn't spend it all and die in the poorhouss. He never got any care from his daughter Alice—not even a glass of water. One time Margaret spoke of going to Grandville, and her father begged of her not to go, for he feared that she would be neglected while she was away.

After his honor gave the jury par-

mich to that the from house for the purpose of examining the lock on the door, and had charged them not to hold any conversation whatever with anyone concerning the result of the examination, court adjourned until 10 o'clock this morning.

SHE WANTS HER HOME

Traded It For a Farm in Oceans County and Was Beaten. Mary A. Janett began a chancery suit in the circuit court yesterday against Thomas T. Condley and Sarah E., his wife. The complainant alleges that she was the owner of a home in that she was the ewner of a home in this city on Henry sireet, valued between \$2,500 and \$3,000, upon which there was a mortgage of \$1,500, and that her equity therein was \$000. That the defendants represented to her that they were the ewners of a 40-acre farm in Oceans county, upon which there was a mortgage of \$500 and that their equity therein was \$500. That upon the farm there was timber of a good quality suitable for all purposes, that there was an excellent orchard, a large partion of the land cleared and under a high state of cultivation, that there was high state of cultivation, that there was a one-story and a half house with five rooms, that in the immediate vicinity there were saw mills and the complainanticould keep to arders; that it was near the railroad station, etc. The com-plainant cays that she was and is unused to business, that she relied upon the statements made by Condley and his wife and traded her home for the farm, that she visited the place and found that the orchard cone sted of one acrubby peach tree and two wild crab apple trees, that there was no timber suitable for any purpose, that the land had not been cleared, but was full of atumps, that the soil was sandy and nothing could be raised thereon; that nothing could be raised thereon; that the house was nothing more than a board shanty with large cracks stopped up with different material to keep the wind out; that there was only one room and a rickety shed, that she could not keep boarders; that it was a mile from the railroad station; that all

court to issue a temporary restraining order eajoining the defendants from encumbering or dispusing of her pro-perty. The c. der was issued. MIXED UP AFFAIR.

of the buildings were not worth mor-than \$12. She says that she is ready and willing to trade back, and take the

McCroden Granted an Injunction Restraining Hammond.

William McCroden was granted an injunction yesterday by Judge Adait in the circuit court, restraining John R. Hammond from moving certain propriammond from moving certain property off a farm near Dutton. The circumstances leading up to this step are somewhat complicated. Joe Dyer purchased the farm in question sometime ago and sold it to Aaron Clark, who conveyed it to McCroden. Hammond had a use when Dyer took the place which ran for one year, but this was declared off and Clark gave Hammond a lease to the first of March. Hammond secured an order several days ago restraining McCroden from compelling him to vacate. Another phase in that Dyer transferred to Clark machinery, etc., which belonged to Hammond, and Clark turned the same over to McCroden. In preparing to move to McCreden. In preparing to move Hammond began getting his property in shape, among which he claims is the windmill. McCroden claims he owns the property, and hence the injunction. Sheriff McQueen served papers yesterday afternoon.

> KICKED IN THE FOREHEAD. Row.

Thomas Haley was arrested in the which developed into a fight in the street. As he was coming up the steps a man whose name he did not know. knocked him down and then dealt him a severe kick in the forehead. Dr. Kelly was called, and upon examination decided that the gash was of such a serious nature that Haley would have to be taken to St. Mark's hospital. The ambulance conveyed him thitier.

SUIT OVER A BONUS, An Ohio Manufacturer Sues the Muskegon Boomers.

The case of William B. McKinney vs. George L. Erwin is on trial in the United States court. McKinney is a resident of Ohio, and the suit was brought against the Muskegon Improvement company for damages re-sulting from an alleged breach of con-tract. It is claimed that the improvetract. It is claimed that the improvement company offered McKinney \$75,000 in cash if he would remove his wagon manufactory to Muskegon. He says he made arrangement to go to Muskegon, but just as he was about to locate there he received word from the company that he would be required to sign certain agreements that were not mentioned when the proposition was originally submitted. STRUCK HIS WIFE.

Fred King Assaults His Spouse on Pearl Street Bridge in Sight of Many.

Yesterday afternoon the spectacle of man striking a defenseless woman on Pearl street bridge, was witnessed by about a dozen passers. As the fellow dealt her blow after blow in the face, called her vile names, and threatened to throw ler over the reiling into the

river, not one of the masculme witnesses attempted to intertere. Someone in a store near by telephoned for the patrol when he learned of the fact. The man was Frank King, and the woman his wife, who had left him before. She was crying when a reporter for The Humans spoke to her, and on her check was the imprint of a brute's hand. She said she was employed at Sweet's hotel. King did not support here and she was composed to separate from him. He did not work, but forced her to give what money she carned to him. Someone on the west side had been making a dress for her, and three times during the afternoon she had tried to cross the bridge, but each time he had stopped her and tried to force her to give up what money she had. Detective Darr took her to police headquarters where she swore out a warrant for her hueband's arrest.

Court Notes. A jury acquitted Stephen Weir in Justice Haydorn's court yesterday on a charge of trespassing on the premises of the estate of Timothy O'Brien in Alpine township and removing timber.

When shariff McQueen suctioned off the Vossen Brothers dry goods yesterday Fietcher & Wanty bid in it for \$15,550 for eastern creditors.

The grand jury began business yester.

The grand jury began business yesterday in dead earnest. Twenty true bills were returned last evening as the result of their day's work.

The jury for the March term of the circuit court will be notified to appear March 15 instead of March 8 as hereto-

Charles M. Scott was appointed a de-

The Complainant Paid His Fine.

John Assink pleaded guilty in police John Assink pleaded guilty in police court to being drunk and disorderly. He was arrested on co-uplaint of Dr. Saunders. Judge Haggerty fined hims 65.87, or in default, thirty days in jail. John didn't have the money, but several of his friends induced Dr. Saunders to pay the fine. He would not doo, however, until John had turned over to him one sewing machine and two lawn mowers to secure the payment of the money advanced, rent overdue and for damage done the door in the house on North Ioma street when Detective Kennedy made the arrest. John promised everything and anything.

Steven Welden's Case Again.

The last of the test mony in the case of the city vs Stevan Welden was taken before circuit court commissioner Hughes yesierday. Dr. G. K. Johnson was aworn for the defendant. He said substantially that the slaughter house, incated near the D. & M. depot, on Indian creek, was not of such a nature as to be a menscerto public health. The testimony taken before Mr. Hughes will be submitted to Judge Burlingame and probably one or two more witnesses sworn, after which it will be decided whether or not Weiden will be compell-ed to move outside the city.

Rifled His Pockets.

John Smith, a plate glass manufacturer of Newark, N. J., reported to the police yesterday that the night before he had been robbed of \$120 at the Bridge street house. He said that during the afternoon he had been "bowling up" and had displayed a large roll of bills. He remembered of having seen two men following him and thinks that after he went to his room and was asleep his apartments room and was asleep his apartments were entered and his pockets rifled.

Two Boy Burglars.

Alva Goeway and Glen Guiotte were arrested yesterday afternoon by Detectives Gast and Jakeway, charged with burgiary and larceny. In company with two others, now under arrest, Brothers and Lux, the pair broke into William S. Kenyon's store, corner into William S. Kenyon's store, corner of West Bridge street and Broadway, and riole a quantity of cigars and to-bacco, aggregating in value about \$50. The crime was committed about four

Frankie Babcock Has Skipped.

Frank Babcock, who has given the police and his parents so much trouble of late by running away with his father's horse, and who was locked up at the county jail pending his sentence to the reform school, has escaped from the bastile and flown to partsunknown. He was given the freedom of the corridor, and while the turnkey was at dinger he made a sneak. per be made a sneak

Sold Liquor to Minors

James Grady was arraigned in police court yesterday for selling liquor to minors. Grady is a wholessie liquor dealer on Division street. He waived the reading of the complaint and de-manded an examination which was set for March 15.

Lost an Overcoat.

Charles Murray alias Wilson, and Joseph Miller alias McGuire, who were arrested Tuesday, will be arraigned in police court this morning for the lar-ceny of an overcoat from Harry New-man, the Canal street clother.

Didn't Want to Prosecute. General manager Heald refused to make complaint against J. D. Mc Gowan in police court yesterday. Mr. Heald talked with the presence who and that he was an old railroad man with a good record, but had lately teen

SYRUPOFIGE ONE ENIOYS

Both the method and results when Syrup of Figs is taken; it is pleasant and refreshing to the teste, and act gently yet promptly on the Kidneys Liver and Bowels, cleaners the sys-tem effectually, dispels colds, head aches and fevers and cures habitus aches and fevers and cures habitus constipation. Syrup of Figs is the only remedy of its kind ever produced, pleasing to the taste and so ceptable to the stomach, prompt is its action and truly beneficial in it effects, prepared only from the most benithy and agreeable substances, it many excellent qualities commend it to all and have made it the most popular remedy known.

Syrup of Figs is for sale in 50 and \$1 bottles by all leading druggists. Any reliable druggist whereay not have it on hand will pro-

may not have it on hand will pro ure it promptly for any one whe vishes to try it. Do not accept are

CALIFORNIA FIG SYRUP CO. LOUISVILLE, AT. NEW YORK, M.Y.

in hard luck. He hoped to get to Caddiac, where he thought he could secure work, and so procured a pass by use of a forged letter.

Had Visible Means of Support. Bert Dalkins was arraigned in polic. court yesterday on the charge of va-grancy. He pleaded not guilty, claimed he had visible means of support and will have a hearing March 11.

LAW AND LAWYERS. United States Court

JUDGE SEVERENS.

William B. McKinney vs. Geo. 1. Erwin, assumpsit; jury empaueled and trial in progress.

The United States vs. Hattie Staley arraigned for sending obscene literature through the mails, pleaded not guilty and held for trial in default of \$200 best

The United States vs. Samuel E. Howard, indictment for sending un lawful matter on a postal card; convicted and fined \$50 to stand committed until flue is paid.

The United States vs. Gustave John-

son, indictment for sningging good arraigned and pleaded not guilty; he to appear for trial under \$500 bonds. The United States vs. Jas. L. Everett

indictment for uniawful disposition of postoffice funds; held for trial under \$200 bends.

25c. for a box of Beecham's Pills worth a guines.

To Make Plants Bloom!



Prof. S. T. Maynard Prof. of Fioriculture, Massachusetts Agricultural College, has written a little book,

"Wirdow Gardoning." which we send free

with each package of our Flower Food. This little book con talus directions for planting, potting and repotting house plants, m going to slipping and rooting. wintering, washing and transplanting. Also, a

To Preserve Cut Flowers.

treatise on decorative plants,

And, in short, about everything that a lover of flowers wants to know attent ber plants.

T. R. RENWICK & CO.

123 MONROE STREET.

TELEPHONE 148.

Fountain-st. Livery, Hack and Boarding Stables,

Cer, Fountain and Ionia-sts.

IL A. GREENLEY.

FUNERAL WEDDING.

Calling and Opera Orders

OPEN ALL MIGHT

& M'GURRIN,

PLUMBING. em and flot Water Heating. Mantels, Grates and Tiling, Gas Fixtures, etc.

caste and Retail Festers to Prince and

Hot Griddle Cakes.

Dr. Price's Cream Baking Powder possesses a peculiar merit not approached by that of any other baking powder. It produces the hot buckwheat, Indian or wheat cakes, hot biscuit, doughnuts, waffles or muffins. Any of these tasteful things may be eaten when hot with impunity by persons of the most delicate digestive organs. Dr. Price's Cream Baking Powder leavens without firmentation or decomposition. In its preparation none but the purest of cream of tartar, soda, etc. is used, and in such exact equivalents as to always guarantee a perfectly neutral result, thereby giving the natural and sweet flavor peculiar to buckwheat and other flour that may be used, the natural flavor so much desired and ap preciated by all. The oldest patrons of Dr. Prices powder tell the story, that they can never get the same results from any other le.. vening agent, that their griddle cakes, biscuits, etc. are never so light and never taste so sweet or so good as when raised with Dr. Prices Cream Baking Powder.